IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MUWSA GREEN,) Civil Action No. 2: 13-cv-1208
Plaintiff,	United States Magistrate JudgeCynthia Reed Eddy
v.)
DEBRA A. HAWKINBERRY, et al.,)
Defendants)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On February 6, 2015, the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Presently pending is Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of the Memorandum Opinion and Order of February 6, 2015, which denied his request for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 53).

A motion for reconsideration may be brought on three grounds: (1) an intervening change in controlling law, (2) evidence not previously available, or (3) to correct a clear error of law or prevent manifest injustice. *North River Ins. Co. v. CIGNA Reinsurance Co.*, 52 F.3d 1194, 1218 (3d Cir.1 995). Reconsideration is an extraordinary remedy which is to be granted "very sparingly." *Interfaith Community Organization v. Honeywell Intern., Inc.*, 215 F.Supp.2d 482, 507 (D.N.J.2002).

Plaintiff has not identified any intervening change in controlling law, evidence that has become available since the February 6, 2015, Memorandum Opinion and Order, or facts that the Court overlooked in denying his Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Instead, Plaintiff has simply reraised the same arguments that the Court already fully considered and rejected when it denied the motion for preliminary injunction. Accordingly, the Motion for Reconsideration will be denied.

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 23rd day of February, 2015, in accordance with the foregoing Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** that the Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

/s Cynthia Reed Eddy Cynthia Reed Eddy United States Magistrate Judge

cc: MUWSA GREEN
HV-5362
SCI Fayette
Box 9999
LaBelle, PA 15450-0999
(via U.S. First Class Mail)

Sandra A. Kozlowski Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General (via ECF electronic notification)